## **FISCAL NOTE**

**Bill #:** HB0442 **Title:** Revise definition of littering as

traffic offense

**Primary** 

**Sponsor:** Joey Jayne Status: Third Reading, as Amended by

Senate Highway and Transportation

Sponsor signature Date Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date

**Fiscal Summary** 

|                                     | FY2002<br><u>Difference</u> | FY2003<br>Difference |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Expenditures:</b>                |                             |                      |
| General Fund                        | 0                           | 0                    |
| Revenue: General Fund               | 10,325                      | 10,325               |
| Net Impact on General Fund Balance: | \$10,325                    | \$10,325             |

| Yes | No<br>X | Significant Local Gov. Impact    | Yes | No<br>X | Technical Concerns            |
|-----|---------|----------------------------------|-----|---------|-------------------------------|
|     | X       | Included in the Executive Budget |     | X       | Significant Long-Term Impacts |
|     | X       | Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  |     | X       | Family Impact Form Attached   |

### **Fiscal Analysis**

#### **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- 1. Over the last four years, the MHP has averaged 72 citations per year for littering violations.
- 2. Of the 72 citations, 24 were for lighted material violations and 48 were for garbage violations.
- 3. The 72 citations issued were \$50 violations for revenue of \$3,600 per year.
- 4. It is assumed that the MHP will continue to issue 72 citations a year for littering violations. Of these 72 citations, 2 will be for littering feces or urine, 23 will be for lighted material violations, and 47 will be for garbage violations.

# Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0422, Third Reading, as Amended</u> Page 2 (continued)

- 5. Revenue generated will be  $2 \times $500 = $1,000$  for feces/urine violations;  $23 \times $500 = $11,500$  for lighted material violations; and  $47 \times $250 = $11,750$  for garbage violations, for total revenue of \$24,250.
- 6. Assume \$24,250 revenue under the new language, minus the \$3,600 revenue under the old language, generates \$20,650 in new revenue per year.
- 7. Half of the new revenue or \$10,325 would go to local governments and 50 percent of the new revenue or \$10,325 would go to the state general fund.

### FISCAL IMPACT:

|   | FY2002<br><u>Difference</u> | FY2003<br><u>Difference</u> |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Expenditures:   | 0                           | 0                           |  |  |
| <u>Funding:</u><br>General Fund (01)  | 0                           | 0                           |  |  |
| Revenues:<br>General Fund (01)  | \$10,325                    | \$10,325                    |  |  |
| Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure): General Fund (01) \$10,325 \$10,325 |                             |                             |  |  |

### EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

1. Local governments will receive approximately \$10,325 per year in new revenue.

### LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

1. With the amount of penalty imposed, the MHP anticipates that there may be more court challenges to the citations issued, resulting in more court time, jury fees, and possibly public defender costs.